Published online 2016 June 11.

**Brief Report** 

# Promoting Healthy Lifestyles for Women in Kerman, Iran: A Population-Based Study

# Habibeh Ahmadipour,<sup>1,\*</sup> and Golasa Kiarash<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor of Community Medicine, Research Centers for Social Determinants of Health, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, IR Iran <sup>2</sup>School of Medicine, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, IR Iran

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: Habibeh Ahmadipour, Assistant Professor of Community Medicine, Research Centers for Social Determinants of Health, Kerman University of Medical Sciences, Kerman, IR Iran. Tel: +98-3433257660, Fax: +98-3433257671, E-mail: ahmadipour@kmu.ac.ir

Received 2015 October 05; Revised 2015 December 16; Accepted 2016 January 25.

### Abstract

Background: A healthy lifestyle plays an important role in promoting good health and preventing deseases.

Objectives: This study aimed to investigate the promotion of healthy lifestyles for women in Kerman, Iran.

**Materials and Methods:** A cross-sectional study was conducted on 400 women admitted to urban health centers in Kerman during 2014. Data was collected using a questionnaire containing demographic data and a health-promoting lifestyle profile. Scores ranged between 0 and 100. Higher scores indicated healthier lifestyles. The data was analyzed using SPSS software.

**Results:** The mean age of the participants was 33.94 years. Most were married, unemployed, and had a high school diploma. The mean score for health-promoting lifestyles was  $50.62 \pm 14.16$ . Nutrition and physical activity had the highest and lowest scores, respectively. Married women had higher scores in health responsibility and nutrition.

**Conclusions:** Data from our study revealed the necessity for women to pay more attention to planning healthy lifestyles, especially in terms of physical activity. Since women play a crucial role in shaping their children's habits, more educational intervention is needed to improve healthy lifestyle habits.

Keywords: Health Promotion, Lifestyle, Women, Kerman

# 1. Background

Noncommunicable diseases (NCDs) kill more than 36 million people each year. These diseases have four risk factors: unhealthy diet, physical inactivity, tobacco use, and alcohol abuse (1). The prevalence of many chronic conditions is increased by unhealthy lifestyles; therefore, lifestyle modification is important in reducing the burden of these diseases (2). Community-based lifestyle intervention programs can be effective for promoting healthy lifestyles in developing countries (2), which should be a priority in our health system, as previous studies found that the prevalence of unhealthy lifestyles is very high in Iran (3).

Different groups' lifestyles in Iran have been studied in terms of their levels of health promotion. Researchers have concluded that it is essential for health-related educational programs to be directed at lifestyle modification (4, 5). Musavian et al. found that the risk of developing an unhealthy lifestyle is higher among females and older adolescents (6). Harooni et al. revealed that 85% of the elderly living in Dena had intermediate health-promoting behaviors and 15% had proper health-promoting behaviors (7). Enjezab et al. found that the majority of middle-aged women in Yazd were at the moderate level for having a health-promoting lifestyle (8). Sehhatie et al. found similar results among postmenopausal women in Langroud, Iran (9). Since mothers play a crucial role in shaping their children's habits and behaviors, they are effective in creating and shaping their children's lifestyles.

#### 2. Objectives

This study investigated the health-promoting lifestyles of women admitted to urban health centers in Kerman

# 3. Materials and Methods

A cross-sectional study was conducted on 400 women aged between 15 and 64 years admitted to urban health centers in Kerman during 2014. The sample size was calculated using the following formula with a confidence interval of 95%, a standard deviation of 19% (based on previous studies), and an error rate of 2.6%.

A multistage sampling method was used. Due to the sampling method, a design effect of 2 was also considered. The city was divided into five section; from each, two centers were selected randomly. At each of the centers, the

Copyright © 2016, Health Policy Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

participants were selected through non-probability convenience sampling. Inclusion criteria were participants between the ages of 15 and 64 years old, and informed consent was required for participation. Data was collected using a two-section, self-administered questionnaire. The first section contained demographic data, such as age, marital status, employment status, level of education, and income. The second section was the health-promoting lifestyle profile designed by Walker et al. (10). We used the Persian version of the 34-item form, which has confirmed validity and reliability (11). The questionnaire consists of four dimensions, including nine questions about health responsibility, eight questions about physical activity, nine questions about nutrition, and eight questions about stress management. Each question is graded with a Likert scale of 4 degrees (1 = never, 2 = sometimes, 3 = often, 4 = always). Total scores and the score of each dimension were calculated by summing the scores and converting them to a value between 0 and 100. Higher scores indicated the promotion of a healthy lifestyle.

This study was approved by the research review board at Kerman University of Medical Sciences. The questionnaires were completed anonymously by a trained interviewer after oral consent was obtained. The participants were assured that the data would be used for research purposes only. Data was analyzed using SPSS statistical software version 19. T-test and ANOVA were used for comparing the health-promoting lifestyle scores between two groups and between more than two groups, respectively. Linear regression was used for the analysis of variables in predicting health-promoting lifestyle scores.

# 4. Results

Four hundred questionnaires were completed. The participants were between the ages of 17 and 64 years with a mean of 33.94 years  $\pm$  10.54. Most participants were married (88.4%) housewives (75%) with high school diplomas (37.2%) and a household income of less than 5 MRls (49.6%). Table 1 shows the health-promoting lifestyle scores and their dimensions in terms of the demographic data. As shown in Table 1, health-promoting lifestyle scores were higher in married women, unemployed women, and women with higher incomes and education levels. However, the differences were not statistically significant (P >0.05). For the linear regression analysis, only the employment status and the income of the women were considered. Table 2 shows the health-promoting lifestyle predictors for the linear regression model ( $R^2=0.23$ ). As shown in Table 2, only employment status was a significant predictor of health-promoting lifestyle scores (P = 0.04). Lifestyle scores were 3.66 points higher in housewives than in employed women. The scores of all dimensions except physical activity were higher among married women. These differences were statistically significant only for health responsibility and nutrition scores. The health responsibility of employed women and other dimensions of housewives were higher, but the difference was not statistically significant. The correlation between age, total scores and the scores of each dimension was not statistically significant (P > 0.05).

# 5. Discussion

This research investigated the promotion of healthy lifestyles in a population-based study. We found a total scores averaging at 50.62, a result that is compatible with similar studies (8, 9). In our study, physical activity had the lowest score among the subscales. In almost all similar studies (8, 9, 12), physical activity had the lowest score among all dimensions of healthy lifestyles. Gokyildiz et al. found that physical activity had the lowest scores in pregnant women in Turkey (13). In addition, Tol et al. revealed that physical activity had the lowest score among students in health school in Isfahan (14). Finally, Motlagh found similar results among medical students (4) These results show that physical activity levels in our country are low; indeed, Esteghamati found that 40% of Iranian adults (31.6% of men and 48.6% of women) had low physical activity levels (15). Further more, many factors can reduce women's participation in physical activity, including less attention to women's sports by authorities compared to men's sports, less investment in women's sports compared to men's sports, limited access to suitable facilities, job involvement, insufficient time due to household chores and child care responsibilities, lack of interest, low self-esteem, parental and spousal disapproval, and economical issues (16).

Physical inactivity is a modifiable risk factor for chronic diseases such as cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, and certain types of cancer; therefore, it is necessary that health-promoting programs focus more on physical activity to lower the risks of developing these diseases.

Our study found that nutrition had the highest score among four dimensions (health responsibility, physical activity, nutrition, and stress management). Motlagh also showed that of these dimensions, nutrition had the highest score among medical students in Yazd (4). A similar study in Turkey and Iran (Tehran) revealed that health responsibility had the highest score among the same dimensions (5, 13).

A Turkish study found that older women, women with higher educational levels, and women with better socioe-

Data	Health Responsibility	Nutrition	Physical Activity	Stress Management	Health-Promoting Lifestyle	
Total	59.69 (17.74)	64.78 (16.37)	28.64 (21.25)	46.38 (18.65)	50.62 (14.16)	
Marital status						
Single	48.96 (18.56)	59.57 (16.32)	33.22 (21.19)	45.00 (19.84)	47.51 (15.01)	
Married	61.09 (17.19)	65.33 (16.33)	28.02 (21.19)	46.49 (18.51)	50.98 (14.03)	
P Value	0.001	0.04	0.15	0.63	0.20	
Occupation status						
Unemployed	59.48 (18.10)	65.21 (15.51)	29.27 (22.10)	47.61 (18.46)	51.43 (14.27)	
Employed	61.86 (15.88)	64.74 (17.67)	27.15 (18.44)	43.45 (18.07)	49.41 (12.91)	
P Value	0.26	0.83	0.43	0.06	0.30	
Education level						
Illiterate	49.76 (20.05)	61.31 (13.39)	23.75 (20.94)	44.44 (16.84)	46.65 (17.75)	
Elementary	58.85 (21.12)	63.63 (17.22)	27.58 (20.98)	48.30 (20.86)	50.33 (14.59)	
High school	60.19 (15.47)	64.91 (15.39)	27.86 (20.99)	44.68 (17.84)	49.82 (13.77)	
Academic	62.08 (14.62)	66.83 (16.37)	32.40 (21.84)	47.41 (17.08)	52.77 (13.14)	
P Value	0.06	0.47	0.23	0.42	0.36	
Household income						
< 5MRls	59.39 (18.46)	62.67 (15.96)	27.12 (21.99)	46.20 (19.27)	49.49 (14.40)	
5 - 10 MRls	60.04 (16.11)	66.57 (16.38)	29.38 (20.05)	46.10 (18.16)	51.57 (13.56)	
10 MRls <	62.89 (16.94)	67.43 (16.54)	30.72 (19.42)	45.91 (15.03)	52.06 (13.10)	
P Value	0.44	0.07	0.49	0.99	0.45	

Table 1. Health-Promoting Lifestyle Scores and Its Dimensions According to Demographic Data<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Values are expressed as mean (SD).

Table 2. Health-Promoting Lifestyle Predictors in the Linear Regression Model

Model	Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig	95.0% Confidence Interval for B	
	В	Standard Error	Beta			Lower Bound	Upper Bound
Constant	82.28	3.68		22.36	0.00	75.04	89.52
Age	-0.016	0.071	-0.014	219	0.82	-0.156	0.12
Marital status	3.29	2.24	0.083	1.46	0.14	-1.12	7.72
Occupation status	-3.66	1.80	-0.140	-2.03	0.04 <sup>a</sup>	-7.20	-0.11
Household income	1.64	1.09	0.104	1.49	0.13	-0.51	3.79
Education level	0.448	0.64	0.051	.69	0.49	-0.82	1.72

<sup>a</sup>Statistically significant.

conomic statuses all had healthier lifestyles (13). In our univariate analysis, we found the same results, but the differences between the women were not statistically significant. However, in our multivariate analysis, we found that housewives' healthy lifestyle scores were higher than those of employed women, which may indicate that employed women's lifestyles are negatively affected in terms of health promotion due to their different role.

Married women had higher health responsibility and nutrition scores than single women. Many studies have showed that marriage has a positive influence on individual health (17), as marriage increases social support and income and reduces risky behavior and stress, all of which contribute to better health (18).

#### 5.1. Conclusion

Data from our study revealed that it is necessary for women to pay more attention to planning for healthy lifestyles, especially in terms of physical activity levels. Since women play a crucial role in shaping their children's habits, more educational interventions are needed to improve their lifestyles in terms of health promotion.

#### 5.2. Limitations

Our cross-sectional study was limited temporally in terms of the examination of relationships. Another limitation was that the data collected was self-reported by participants; therefore, precise evidence of their actual behaviors was not provided.

# Acknowledgments

The authors of this study are grateful to all the staff of the urban health centers in Kerman for their help and support. We also express our thanks to all the women who participated in this study, as their participation made this study possible.

#### Footnotes

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors have no conflicts of interest.

**Funding/Support:** Kerman University of Medical Sciences supported this work.

# References

- 1. World Health Organization . Non communicable diseases 2014. [cited Jul 25]. Available from: http://www.who.int/media.
- Sarrafzadegan N, Kelishadi R, Esmaillzadeh A, Mohammadifard N, Rabiei K, Roohafza H, et al. Do lifestyle interventions work in developing countries? Findings from the Isfahan Healthy Heart Program in the Islamic Republic of Iran. *Bull World Health Organ.* 2009;87(1):39–50. [PubMed: 19197403].
- Kelishadi R, Alikhani S, Delavari A, Alaedini F, Safaie A, Hojatzadeh E. Obesity and associated lifestyle behaviours in Iran: findings from the First National Non-communicable Disease Risk Factor Surveillance Survey. *Public Health Nutr.* 2008;11(3):246–51. doi: 10.1017/S1368980007000262. [PubMed: 17625028].

- Motlagh Z, Mazloomy Mahmoodabad S, Momayezi M. Study of Healthpromotion behaviors among university of medical science students. ZJRMS. 2011;13(4):29–34.
- 5. Maheri AB, Bahrami MN, Sadeghi R. The situation of healthpromoting lifestyle among the students living in dormitories of tehran university of medical sciences, iran. 2013
- Musavian AS, Pasha A, Rahebi SM, Atrkar Roushan Z, Ghanbari A. Health promoting Behaviors Among Adolescents: A Cross-sectional Study. Nurs Midwifery Stud. 2014;3(1):ee14560. [PubMed: 25414892].
- Harooni J, Hassanzadeh A, Mostafavi F. Influencing factors on health promoting behavior among the elderly living in the community. J Educ Health Promot. 2014;3:40. doi: 10.4103/2277-9531.131921. [PubMed: 25013833].
- Enjezab B, Farajzadegan Z, Taleghani F, Aflatoonian A, Morowatisharifabad MA. Health Promoting Behaviors in a Population-based Sample of Middle-aged Women and its Relevant Factors in Yazd, Iran. *Int J Prev Med.* 2012;3(Suppl 1):S191–8. [PubMed: 22826765].
- Sehhatie F, Mirghafourvand M, Momeni K. Health promoting behaviors among postmenopausal women in langroud city, iran. *Health*. 2015;1:3.
- Walker SN, Sechrist KR, Pender NJ. The Health-Promoting Lifestyle Profile: development and psychometric characteristics. *Nurs Res.* 1987;36(2):76–81. [PubMed: 3644262].
- Mohamadian H, Eftekhar Ardebili H, Rahimi Foroushani A, Taghdisi MH, Shojaiezade D. Evaluation of Pender's health promotion model for predicting adolescent girls' quality of life. SJSPH. 2011;8(4).
- 12. Mirghafourvand M, Sehhati F, Rahimi M. Health-promoting lifestyle and its demographic predictors in infertile couples referred to infertility clinic of tabriz al-zahra hospital, 2013. *J Caring Sci.* 2014;**3**(3):175– 84.
- Gokyildiz S, Alan S, Elmas E, Bostanci A, Kucuk E. Health-promoting lifestyle behaviours in pregnant women in Turkey. *Int J Nurs Pract.* 2014;**20**(4):390–7. doi: 10.1111/jip.12187. [PubMed: 24118372].
- Tol A, Tavassoli E, Shariferad GR, Shojaeezadeh D. Health-promoting lifestyle and quality of life among undergraduate students at school of health, Isfahan university of medical sciences. *J Educ Health Promot.* 2013;**2**:11. doi: 10.4103/2277-9531.108006. [PubMed: 24083261].
- Esteghamati A, Khalilzadeh O, Rashidi A, Kamgar M, Meysamie A, Abbasi M. Physical activity in Iran: results of the third national surveillance of risk factors of non-communicable diseases (SuRFNCD-2007). *J Phys Act Health.* 2011;8(1):27–35. [PubMed: 21297182].
- 16. Mirghafoori S, Sayadi H, Mirfakhradini S. The analysis of factors affecting women's participation in sport female students of Yazd university sport management. 2009;**22**(1).
- Chung W, Kim R. Does marriage really matter to health? Intraand inter-country evidence from China, Japan, Taiwan, and the Republic of Korea. *PLoS One*. 2014;9(8):ee104868. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0104868. [PubMed: 25118189].
- Waldron I, Hughes ME, Brooks TL. Marriage protection and marriage selection-prospective evidence for reciprocal effects of marital status and health. Soc Sci Med. 1996;43(1):113–23. [PubMed: 8816016].